

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/750,568		NGUYEN ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Hal I. Kaplan		2836		

All Participants:

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) Kathryn Jennings.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:
Application No. 10/019,920

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Claims 1-3, 5, 10-13, 15, 16, 18-22, 27-29, and 31 rejected; claims 4, 6-9, 14, 17, 23-26, and 30 allowed.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:15 p.m.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that Application No. 10/019,920, which Applicant cited in IDS (Form PTO-1449), did not match the listed inventor and publication date. Applicant confirmed that the citation was in error and should have been Application No. 11/019,920, which has been considered.